

North Yorkshire

Personal Details:

Name: Mr Sam Gibbs
Email: [REDACTED]
Postcode: [REDACTED]
Organisation Name: North Yorkshire Conservative Party (Political groups)

Comment text:

Related subject: All

Our comments and proposals are outlined in the documents attached.

Attached Documents:

- local-government-boundary-commission-proposals-for-north-yorkshire---conservative-party-submission.pdf
- north-yorkshire-conservative-party-submission.xlsx

Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for North Yorkshire

Response of the North Yorkshire Conservative Party.

Introduction

The North Yorkshire Conservative Party have studied the proposals published by the Local Government Boundary Commission.

We agree fully with the three principles outlined in the introduction of the review:

1. North Yorkshire should be represented by 89 Councillors, one fewer than there is now.
2. North Yorkshire should have 87 divisions, two fewer than there is now.
3. The boundaries of most divisions should change

Whilst agreeing with the three principles outlined above, we disagree on how some of the divisions should change. This document and the attached proposals will outline where we agree with the draft proposals for the new divisions and where we disagree. Where we disagree, we have outlined an alternative which we feel better meets the needs of local communities. Where appropriate we will also outline the reasons why we agree or disagree.

Most of our proposals are in agreement with either the Local Government Boundary Commission or the North Yorkshire County Council submission which was based on the work of a cross-party working group.

We have concerns with some of the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals that are based on the views put forward by the Liberal Democrats. In our opinion some of these proposals are overly political and designed to suit certain elected individuals. These have been made at the expense of the principles adopted by the Local Government Boundary Commission in conducting these reviews, most notably around communities.

It should be highlighted that the cross-party group behind the North Yorkshire Council submission included Liberal Democrats.

This response will deal with each division broken down by area.

Selby area

Osgoldcross

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Osgoldcross. Along with Camblesforth & Carlton it creates two divisions in the southernmost part of North Yorkshire that share good electoral equality.

Camblesforth & Carlton

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Camblesforth & Carlton. Along with Osgoldcross it creates two divisions in the southernmost part of North Yorkshire that share good electoral equality.

Brayton & Barlow

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Brayton and Barlow. The addition of Burn to the current Brayton and Barlow division is necessary for electoral equality and the parish of Burn is linked closely to the rest of the division by the A19.

Thorpe Willoughby & Hambleton

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Thorpe Willoughby and Hambleton. Burn is linked more closely with Brayton and Barlow. The addition of Chapel Haddlesey and West Haddlesey parishes are needed for electoral equality.

Sherburn in Elmet and South Milford

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Sherburn in Elmet and South Milford. Whilst being intrinsically against the creation of two-member divisions, we agree it is necessary here to avoid splitting communities in a detrimental way.

Selby East

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Selby East. Selby parish is too large for two divisions so including the area of new housing with Barlby & Osgodby, which is a community linked strongly to Selby is sensible.

Selby West

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Selby West. For the same reasons as outlined above for Selby East.

Barlby & Osgodby

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Barlby & Osgodby for the same reasons as outlined for Selby East.

Cliffe & Escrick

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Cliffe & Escrick. It combines rural parishes to the East of Selby that share similar identities.

Cawood & Ricall

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Cawood & Ricall. It combines parishes to the North of Selby that share community connections.

Appleton Roebuck & Church Fenton

We largely agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Appleton Roebuck & Church Fenton. However, we would also include the parishes of Wighill and Healaugh from the Tadcaster division. We welcome the decision to unite these parishes in one ward. The United Manor of Wighill and Healaugh was mentioned in the Domesday Book and both communities are linked closely to neighbouring Catterton which is shared parish.

Including these parishes in the Tadcaster division would dilute local ties to Catterton and risk these rural communities being forgotten within the largely urban division of Tadcaster.

It is unsuitable and unnecessary to split the longstanding association of Healaugh with Catterton between two divisions. Catterton is part of a shared civil parish with representatives elected to Healaugh and Catterton Parish Council and the polling station for both parishes being the Healaugh Memorial Hall

Adding these to Appleton Roebuck and Church Fenton avoids splitting a grouped parish unnecessarily and helps provide greater electoral equality with Tadcaster.

Tadcaster

We largely agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Tadcaster. However we propose the removal of the Wighill and Healaugh parishes into Appleton Roebuck & Church Fenton for the reasons outlined above.

Skipton area

South Craven

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Glusburn, Cross Hills and Sutton-in-Craven. Although we propose changing the name to South Craven. This is simpler and accurately describes the area. The major secondary school in the area is known as South Craven school.

Aire Valley

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council cross party proposal. The Parish of Carleton sits most naturally with Skipton West.

Bentham and Ingleton

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Bentham and Ingleton. However, it is clear that the parish of Clapham with Newby sees itself as being tied as a community to Bentham and Ingleton and not to Settle. Doing this achieves sufficient electoral equality given the proposals below.

Settle

The Boundary Commission proposal was based on the Liberal Democrat proposal that does not seem to recognise that the three communities of Rathmell, Halton East and Wigglesworth are a grouped parish and have worked together for many years. They all look to Settle for their services and education and has good transport links. Our proposal avoids splitting these up, helping to keep this community together.

Mid Craven

Our proposal is similar to the North Yorkshire Council proposal for Mid Craven. We propose the removal of Halton West and Wigglesworth to avoid splitting a grouped parish (with Rathmell) that naturally and historically looks to Settle.

We also propose the removal of Cracoe, Hetton and Rylestone into the Wharfedale division. These parishes are intrinsically linked to Wharfedale. The local Primary School is part of a federation of which all other schools are in Wharfedale.

These communities look to Grassington and Threshfield rather than Malham and Gargrave for their services. They are linked to Grassington and Upper Wharfedale by the B6265 which is one of the busiest 'B' roads in the Country. There is no suitable road link between these villages and the rest of the Mid-Craven division without travelling all the way down the B6265 into Skipton.

We have added the Parishes of Broughton, Elslack, Martons both and Thornton in Craven to the Mid Craven Ward. These rural parishes on the Lancashire border have much in common with Mid Craven and look to Gargrave in large part for their services. They were unhappy about being part of Skipton West Ward in the first iteration of the new North Yorkshire Council as they have few shared issues with urban parts of Skipton and the

village of Embsay. Mid Craven lies close to the Lancashire border as a whole and has shared issues with regard to economic and cultural ties.

Skipton East

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Skipton East.

Skipton West

We largely agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals. However, to achieve greater electoral equality we believe it is necessary to move a total of around 750 electors from the Skipton North polling district into the Skipton West ward. These electors are Skipton residents and it would have no detrimental effect on the community. Indeed, many would identify more closely with Skipton than they do with Embsay, Eastby and other communities in Skipton North.

Skipton North and Embsay & Eastby

We largely agree with the North Yorkshire Council all-party working group proposals. We propose the addition of Bolton Abbey, Draughton and Halton East parishes into this division. These parishes are on either the A59 or the A65 and the communities are better linked with Embsay, Eastby and other communities around the North of Skipton than they are with the heavily rural communities of Wharfedale. Removing them from Wharfedale not only makes sense from a community point of view, but also reduces the North/South size of the Wharfedale division which is large.

Upper Wharfedale and Upper Nidderdale

We agree largely with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for the 'Wharfedale' division but suggest changing the name to Upper Wharfedale and Upper Nidderdale to better reflect the communities it contains.

We strongly disagree with Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for the Wharfedale division.

The Local Government Boundary Commission proposals create a division that is simply unworkable in terms of size and combines communities that have little to nothing in common with each other. Geographically the ward would run from Buckden in the North to Farnley in the south. Creating a division which is 60 miles from end to end and seeks to combine the most rural communities of Upper Wharfedale with Leeds commuter Villages on the outskirts of Otley.

From a community point of view it combines heavily rural areas within the Yorkshire Dales National Park, lower Wharfedale villages that consider themselves part of Skipton, Ilkley and Bradford commuter villages and urban areas in Otley. To have one representative representing all these communities is unreasonable and unfair on the representative and the communities.

The Council proposal that combined Upper Wharfedale with Upper Nidderdale makes far more sense. It creates a ward that much easier to navigate and is well linked East/West by the B6265 which is a very good and busy 'B' road.

It combines 'Upper Dales' communities where the issues are similar and where the communities have many things in common. The economies are identical with farming and gamekeeping on both sides of the same hill. The communities are intertwined and work together. They also come together for the two major local shows at Pateley Bridge and Kilnsey. Tourism is integral to the financial security of Wharfedale and Nidderdale as are the issues that being reliant on tourism brings.

Pateley Bridge and Grassington are similar in terms of their role as Market Towns in very rural areas and are identical - in terms of the local economy, farming and tourism and the challenges faced by very rural communities. Whereas Lower Nidderdale Villages look to Harrogate, Otley and Leeds for employment.

Pateley Bridge/Bewerley and Grassington/Threshfield also provide the service centres for both Dales respectively, with Doctors. Dentists, Care homes and Volunteering hubs. Alongside small supermarkets, takeaways, pubs and Town halls. As well as relatively regular bus services to the larger settlements of Skipton and Harrogate. Education again is similar with the Primary schools in federations and two small but excellent secondary schools. Upper Wharfedale Cricket Club also play in the Nidderdale league.

The arguments for this division are the same as those used in the 'Upper Dales' division within the former Richmondshire area, where the division brings in Upper Swaledale and Upper Wensleydale. This division has worked successfully in the past and again is included in the current proposals.

We notice the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals are based on that of the Liberal Democrats. We believe their proposal is an overtly political one, based on securing political advantage rather than reflecting the identities of the communities they contain.

The commission received a representation from the Nidderdale National Landscape Joint Advisory Committee. Whilst the representation acknowledges that the Chair is the local Liberal Democrat Councillor it suggests that it represents the view of the whole committee, but we know that some members refused to sign it.

This proposal also has significant detrimental effects elsewhere, in Craven and particularly in the Harrogate area which will be explored later.

Harrogate and Knaresborough area

Pannal and Lower Wharfedale

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Pannal and Lower Wharfedale.

This division runs along the Washburn valley and includes many commuter villages and parishes – from Ilkley commuter areas such as Langbar and Nesfield in the West - to Leeds commuter areas such as Pannal in the East. These communities have far more in common with each other than they do with heavily rural areas in the National Park as suggested in the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals.

These are communities that have been linked electorally in the past under the old North Yorkshire County Council.

The Local Government Boundary Commission proposals based on the Liberal Democrat proposals combine Pannal with Birstwith – this combines Nidderdale communities such as Birstwith with Leeds commuter villages such as Pannal and Burn Bridge. This is a knock-on from the Liberal Democrat proposals for Wharfedale and Pateley Bridge.

Bilton and Nidd Gorge

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Bilton and Nidd Gorge. This is similar to the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals.

We strongly believe that divisions should not cross the outer boundaries of the newly created Parish of Harrogate. This was the view expressed in the North Yorkshire Council submission and reflected the views of all of the cross-party working group – including the Liberal Democrats. Not crossing this boundary will help create clearer lines going forwards and make electoral administration, such as the future redrawing of Parish ward boundaries much simpler. Adopting this principle allows the creation of ten divisions of good electoral equality within the Parish of Harrogate.

This proposed division is based largely on the current division of the same name with some electors moved for electoral community purposes.

Within the Harrogate area, Bilton is a recognisable community. Where electors are being moved, they are being moved into the other Bilton division and this will minimise any community disruption.

Bilton Grange & Knox

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Bilton Grange & Knox. We are comfortable with the inclusion of 'Knox' within the division name.

Oakdale

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Oakdale. This proposal combines the 'Duchy' area of Harrogate with the Saltergate (Jennyfields) area that sits within the Harrogate Parish area.

These communities have historically been linked electorally, both being in the old Saltergate division of North Yorkshire County Council.

We strongly disagree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal that combines the Duchy area with the Valley Gardens. The Valley Gardens ward is very much a town centre ward, whereas the Duchy is not. The Valley Gardens open space is something that separates these two communities rather than something that brings them together.

The name Oakdale is taken from the name of the Golf Course that is central to the division. Other names could be Duchy, or Duchy and Saltergate.

Starbeck

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Starbeck. This is similar to the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals.

Harlow

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Harlow. This is the same as the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals.

Granby

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Granby. This is similar to the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals.

Oatlands and Rossett

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Oatlands and Rossett. This is the same as the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals.

Stray & Woodlands

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Stray and Woodlands. This is similar to the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals. Although we would be comfortable with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals that incorporate Hornbeam Park into the division.

High Harrogate

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for High Harrogate. This is a division with historical links. High Harrogate is an area that dates back hundred of years to before Harrogate was the spa town it is now. In its early years the town developed as two more or less distinct settlements: High and Low Harrogate, separated by fields until well into the nineteenth century.

This division comprises the area of the town that considers itself High Harrogate, taking in parts of the current Coppice Valley division that consider themselves High Harrogate.

Central and Valley Gardens

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Low Harrogate, although suggest a name change to Central and Valley Gardens although we would be comfortable with the name Low Harrogate. The reason for this change is whilst most of this division is consists of the area that would consider itself Low Harrogate, it contains some additional areas.

We strongly disagree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal that combines the Valley Gardens area with the Duchy. These are very much distinct communities with different identities. Our proposal for this division neatly covers the Harrogate town centre area rather than splitting it between two divisions such as in the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal.

Lower Nidderdale

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Lower Nidderdale.

The Council proposals keep together the Parish of Killinghall and avoid splitting it between two divisions. It also neatly brings in nearby villages in lower Nidderdale that consider themselves to be Harrogate villages.

Jennyfields as a community is used to being split – it is currently split as part is in Killinghall parish and part within Harrogate. Historically it has been in different Council wards and even different parliamentary constituencies.

The Liberal Democrat proposal on which the Local Government Boundary Commission based their proposals are poorly formulated and likely born out of their proposals to greatly change the Pateley Bridge seat.

The Liberal Democrat proposals also include several villages that are Knaresborough villages and have nothing to do with Nidderdale. Combining these would be combining communities that have very different identities and look in different directions.

These proposals also incorporate parts of urban Knaresborough to make the numbers work. There is no community links between Nidderdale and Harrogate villages such as Hampsthwaite and urban Knaresborough. Again, this is a messy situation born out of their proposals further up Nidderdale.

Knaresborough East

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Knaresborough East. Whilst recognising that Knaresborough is too big for two divisions and too small for three, we accept that keeping two solely urban Knaresborough divisions is optimal and only creating one that is a mix of urban and rural.

Knaresborough West

We largely with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Knaresborough West. We would alter the boundary with the third Knaresborough Ward – ‘Knaresborough Rural. We propose using the A6055 as boundary. Roads to the west of this are parts of Knaresborough that would consider themselves ‘Scriven’, indeed the Scriven Parish

boundary runs across Greengate Lane. No proposal put forward has included Scriven in a urban Knaresborough ward so this proposal would keep this community together. It also brings together the new housing estates at the northern end of Boroughbridge road. These housing estates are adjacent and almost identical, yet one is in Scriven parish and one in Knaresborough parish. It would be logical to keep these together, especially as the only way to get from Scriven village to the new housing estate within the parish is to leave the parish via Greengate Lane and come back in again.

Knaresborough Rural

We propose something different for the third Knaresborough division. Combining part of Knaresborough with the 'Claro' villages. These villages that used to form the Claro ward of Harrogate Borough Council all see themselves as Knaresborough villages and have a strong association with the town. They are served by a bus routes to Knaresborough and look to the town for schools, doctors and other facilities. The address of all these villages is Knaresborough, HG5.

The parts of Knaresborough to be included are the areas west of the A6055 and along the B6165.

The Local Government Boundary Commission proposals moved many of these villages away from Knaresborough and into a Hammerton based division which mostly looks to York. This would be a mistake and lead to these villages being an afterthought.

Local Government Boundary Commission based their proposal on the Liberal Democrat proposal which carved up the Claro villages to support its proposals in Nidderdale.

Our proposals retain three 'Knaresborough wards', two urban and one semi-rural to include the Knaresborough villages.

Richmond and Northallerton area

Upper Dales

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Upper Dales. We note the arguments put forward for this division and that they have been supported by the Liberal Democrats. These are same arguments that should be accepted for the Upper Nidderdale and Upper Wharfedale division.

Leyburn & Lower Wensleydale

We largely agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Leyburn and Lower Wensleydale. However, we propose not to include the parish of Hunton. Hunton looks towards either Bedale (for schools) or the Garrison (for shopping and other facilities). It does not look up Wensleydale to Leyburn.

North Richmondshire

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for North Richmondshire. We are equally supportive of the Council's submission for North Richmondshire.

Richmond

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Richmond. We are equally supportive of the Council's submission for Richmond East and Scotch Corner. Both provide good electoral equality.

Hipswell & Colburn

We agree with Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Hipswell & Colburn. This nicely brings together the Garrison communities.

Brompton-on-Swale and Scorton

We agree with Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for this division but suggest adding 'on-swale' to the name. This differentiates Brompton-on-Swale from other Brompton's in Richmond and Northallerton parliamentary constituency.

Northallerton North & Brompton

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals. We accept that moving the parishes of Yafforth and Danby Wiske help with electoral equality and these communities are linked to Northallerton North and Brompton.

Northallerton South

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Northallerton South.

Romanby

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Romanby. The addition to some parishes to the South lead to good electoral equality. These parishes importantly look to Northallerton and Romanby.

Great Ayton

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposal for Great Ayton. This is similar to the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal. The Local Government Boundary Commission proposal moves the parish of Bilsdale into the Helmsley based division. We believe it is important that the parish of Bilsdale is not moved out of Great Ayton. It has always been part of Great Ayton.

Bilsdale and the communities within in it look North for all their services – schools, work, shopping, leisure and other facilities. The postcode for the area is Middlesbrough, TS9 – reflecting it is part of the wider Teesside area and should not be included with communities to the South of the North York Moors National Park.

The population centres in Bilsdale are on the North side of the Dale and therefore it makes more sense to include them with communities to the North. It is also part of Richmond and Northallerton parliamentary constituency and therefore if it was included with Helmsley it would be the only parish not in the Thirsk and Malton constituency.

Hutton Rudby and Appleton Wiske

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Hutton Rudby and Appleton Wiske.

Stokesley

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Stokesley.

Bedale and Aiskew

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Bedale and Aiskew. We feel it is sensible to combine the towns of Bedale and Aiskew. They are similar communities and use shared services.

We understand that Aiskew and Leeming Bar are a shared Parish, however until 2022 they had always have always been in separate divisions and even separate parliamentary constituencies. The A1 is a sensible barrier between divisions. This proposal also includes villages to the South of Bedale that consider themselves part of Bedale and again use the same services.

The Local Government Boundary Commission proposal places many of these villages into a ‘South Swale Villages’ division. We believe that this division has little merit as it is a mismatch of communities that have little in common with each other and therefore it would be a very difficult division to represent. It comprises of villages in the West that look to Bedale or Ripon, it then crosses the Swale and combines them with parishes in the East that are on the outskirts of Thirsk. Indeed, Thirsk train station is in this division. Parishes such as Carlton Miniott should be in Thirsk for that reason.

We also propose that the parish of West Tanfield is kept in the Bedale and Aiskew division and not moved into a Masham based division. Whilst geographically closer to Masham, West Tanfield is on the north bank of the River Ure which is the historic boundary between the North and West Ridings of Yorkshire.

The Local Government Boundary Commission for Aiskew and Bedale has some merit, but these proposals result in neighbouring divisions such as Swale and South Swale villages making little sense and dividing communities.

Catterick Village and Crakehall

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Bedale and Aiskew.

This creates a division south of the Garrison which shares a strong community identity. This geographically neat division contains communities with similar identities and issues.

The Local Government Boundary Commission proposal included Catterick Village in the Swale division. This division places parishes such as Catterick, Scotton and Tunstall that look towards Catterick Garrison and Richmond with parishes such as Scruton, Morton on Swale and Ainderby Steeple which are Northallerton villages in very close proximity to the County Town.

We consider the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Swale to have little merit as it is a mismatch of communities that have little in common with each other and therefore it would be a very difficult division to represent.

Swale

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Swale. Although a division of the same name, this varies considerably from the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Swale.

The Council proposal creates a sensible North/South division which is a rural division which will have a commonality of issues. The local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Swale included parishes that look to the Garrison, where the issues are very different.

The Council proposal is more sensible both from geographical and community points of view.

Scarborough and Whitby area

Castle

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Castle.

Cayton

We largely agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Cayton. The Local Government Boundary Commission adopted the Council's suggestion, however we believe it contained a mistake as the map submitted did not match the description given.

We support what was written in the Council submission and accepted as an argument by the Local Government Boundary Commission. However, the map did not reflect this. The area of new housing known as 'Middle Deepdale' that should be removed from Eastfield and into Cayton for reasons of electoral equality is the Crossdale Way area. This is the new housing that does not identify with Eastfield and has good links to Osgodby and the rest of the Cayton division.

Eastfield

We largely agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Eastfield. As above (Cayton) we believe the map submitted did not match the description given. We support the reasoning in the original North Yorkshire Council submission and accepted by the Local Government Boundary Commission.

Danby, Glaisdale & Mulgrave

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Danby & Glaisdale, but suggest changing the name to Danby, Glaisdale and Mulgarve, to better represent both sides of a large rural division.

Esk Valley & The Coast

We largely agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Esk Valley & The Coast. We propose removing Ruswarp and adding into a Whitby division. Ruswarp is part of Whitby parish and is a ward of Whitby Town Council. This avoids splitting a parish and keeps the community of Ruswarp with Whitby with which it identifies.

Falsgrave & Stepney

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Falsgrave & Stepney as the proposed boundaries produce better electoral equality.

Filey

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Filey.

Newby

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Newby.

Northstead

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Northstead.

Scalby & Derwent

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Scalby & Derwent.

Seamer & East Ayton

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Seamer & East Ayton.

Weaponess & Ramshill

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Weaponess & Ramshill.

Whitby Streonshalh

We largely agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Whitby Streonshalh.

We propose that Ruswarp is brought back into Whitby. This means moving one Ruswarp polling district from Esk Valley and the Coast and the other from Whitby West as they should not be split

Ruswarp is part of Whitby parish and should be placed in the Streonshalh division as it has closer links with the Abbey and Town South wards of Whitby Town Council and these are already in Streonshalh.

For electoral equality it is necessary to move Whitby Town North Ward into Whitby West. This ward fits better with Whitby West regardless as it is on the West bank of the Esk and the harbour. For cultural and historical reasons, it is important that Whitby West Cliff is separated from the East side of the Esk.

Our proposal would keep all of Whitby town within two divisions and respect the cultural and historical distinctions between the East and West sides of the town and have good electoral equality.

Whitby West

We largely agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Whitby West. As explained above we propose moving Ruswarp into Whitby Streonshalh and swapping with Town North Ward.

Woodlands

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Woodlands.

Malton area

Hunmanby

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Hunmanby.

Thornton Dale & Sherburn

We agree with the geographical footprint of Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Thornton Dale & Wolds, although suggest changing the name to Thornton Dale & Sherburn. The Wolds are no longer located in this division.

Malton & Norton

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Malton & Norton. Whilst being intrinsically against the creation of two-member divisions, we agree it is necessary here to avoid splitting communities in a detrimental way.

Pickering

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Pickering. We note that the division elector total is above accepted variance, however we accept that splitting up Pickering would be detrimental to the community.

Kirkbymoorside & The Dales

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Kirkbymoorside & The Dales

Helmsley & Ampleforth

We largely agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Helmsley and Ampleforth. We suggest the removal of Bilsdale into Great Ayton as this community looks north to the Great Ayton and Teesside for all of its services. We also propose the addition of Byland with Wass & Oldstead. These two joint parishes sit much better with Helmsley than they do with Hillside. They are connected to Helmsley via public transport and are in the National Park as is the majority of the Helmsley division.

Amotherby & Hovingham

We agree with the geographical footprint of Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Howardian although suggest changing the name to Amotherby & Hovingham to reflect the geography of the division. The name Howardian derives from the Howardian Hills which are not in located in this division.

Thirsk and Easingwold area

Tadcaster

We largely agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Tadcaster. We propose the removal of Healaugh and Wighill into Appleton Roebuck & Church Fenton. These rural communities sit better with a rural ward than with a largely urban one.

Adding these to Appleton Roebuck and Church Fenton also avoids splitting a grouped parish unnecessarily and helps provide greater electoral equality with Tadcaster.

Spofforth & Tockwith

We agree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for Spofforth & Tockwith

Ouseburn

We largely agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposal for Ouseburn. The Council submission for Ouseburn brings together many small local parishes and communities that share an identity and have historical links. However we would propose moving Bilbrough into Appleton Roebuck and Church Fenton, for reasons explained in the Appleton Roebuck and Church Fenton section.

In order to achieve electoral equality we would then also propose moving in (compared to the North Yorkshire Council proposals) or keeping in (compared with the LGBCE proposals) the parish of Allerton Mauleverer. This would make the A1 a very logical Western boundary between Ouseburn and Knaresborough Rural. This division would also have a clear Eastern boundary – the river Ouse.

We strongly disagree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for this area in the divisions of Hammerton and Tollerton & Ouseburn.

The proposed Hammerton division includes parishes in the West that look towards Knaresborough with those in the South and East that are on the outskirts of York. These are very different communities. This division is a by-product of the Liberal Democrat proposal for Nidderdale, which has left surplus villages around Knaresborough that have become an afterthought. It is wrong that villages such as Staveley, Arkendale and Copgrove which are to the North of Knaresborough are put in a division with villages such as Long Marston – there is no shared identity.

The proposed Tollerton & Ouseburn division is also problematic. The Eastern and Western sides of the division are only joined by Aldwark bridge. This is a privately owned, single track, wooden toll bridge. When this bridge is closed it is a 25-mile round trip. Crossing this bridge is unnecessary.

Easingwold

We largely agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposal for Easingwold. We propose the addition (WEDK) - Husthwaite and (WEDL) - Thornton-on-the-Hill.

These communities look towards Easingwold. The farms supply the local farmers market, they rely on banking facilities in the town and the local schools are in Easingwold. It also helps to reduce the size of the vast Hillside division.

Huby & Tollerton

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposal for Huby & Tollerton. We feel that the Council's proposals for this area much better reflect local communities and transport links.

Thirsk

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Thirsk. Keeping Carlton Miniott with Thirsk is optimal. Thirsk railway station is in Carlton Miniott. The parish has seen lots of new housing and these next to the Station, beside Thirsk and not near the historical village of Carlton Miniott – these all therefore look to Thirsk for all their services. Splitting Carlton Miniott from Thirsk would lead to urban areas that consider themselves as Thirsk being places into a heavily rural ward that stretches beyond Bedale in the West.

Sowerby

We largely agree the Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Sowerby,

However, we feel that it is important that Dalton should remain with Sowerby. It has always been with Sowerby and looks to Sowerby and Thirsk for its services.

The Local Government Boundary Commission proposal places Dalton with Dishforth. These are communities that look in different directions historically due to crossing the old Harrogate/Hambleton boundary. Dalton sits much more neatly with Sowerby and good electoral equality can be achieved by leaving it there.

Hillside

We largely agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Hillside. However we suggest the removal of (WEDK) - Hushwaite and (WEDL) - Thornton-on-the-Hill into Tadcaster. Hillside is a geographically large and very rural division. This change makes it a more manageable size.

The Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Hillside create a division that borders Northaallerton in the North and York in the South, we feel this is too large an area to be effectively represented by one individual and as such communities could be disadvantaged.

Ripon area

Ripon South

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for both Ripon South and Ripon North. We strongly disagree with the Local Government Boundary Commission proposal for the Ripon area.

We accept that the City of Ripon is too large for two divisions and too small for three. We therefore accept the premise that some of the City should be placed into a neighbouring ward.

We feel the Council proposal does this most effectively. For example it removes the Clothierholme area from the two urban Ripon divisions. This is an area of new housing on the outskirts of the City. A lot of this housing is still to be built. This area therefore does not have the same historical links with Ripon as other parts of the City do. It is also close to Fountains Abbey, which is referenced in the name of the Masham & Fountains division.

The Local Government Boundary Commission proposals for Ripon are ill-conceived for a number of reasons:

Firstly, the new housing development of 390 houses at West Lane is placed in Masham and Fountains as it is part of Littlethorpe Parish yet it can only be accessed from roads within Ripon South. These properties are currently being marketed by Taylor Wimpey as 'Ripon homes'.

Secondly, we don't agree that there isn't a clear boundary dividing the Clothierholme area that was proposed to be in Masham and Fountains and the rest of the City. There is certainly a clearer line than in the proposal that divides Bondgate – the oldest street in Ripon in half and place it into 'Ripon Canal and Ure' which is effectively a rural division. This would leave houses yards from Ripon Cathedral in the same division as Burton Leonard and Bishop Monkton. There is no logic in residents who reside on Priest Lane and Allhallowgate who are in the heart of the City going into that division either.

Finally, the name of the third Ripon division proposed in the Ripon Canal and Ure where most properties within the proposed division are not near either. This would also not reflect that fact that most of the division is rural and would not be viewed fondly by the residents of Bishop Monkton and Burton Leonard.

We therefore strongly believe that two urban seats of Ripon South and Ripon North and the remainder of Ripon being moved into Masham and Fountains is far more sensible. In recognising that Ripon is too large for two divisions and too small for three it is again sensible to take those living on the outer edges of the urban area and place them in with rural residents, rather than those in the heart of the City.

Ripon North

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Ripon North for the reasons outlined above.

Masham & Fountains

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Masham & Fountains for the reasons outlined above.

We also strongly believe that the parish of West Tanfield should be left with Bedale. It is on the North bank of the river and historically in a different Riding of Yorkshire.

Nidderdale

We strongly agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for both Nidderdale for the reasons outlined above.

This creates a much neater seat in Nidderdale. It brings together communities of similar characteristics that look down Nidderdale towards Harrogate. This area has historically been grouped together electorally, as the Lower Nidderdale ward on Harrogate Borough Council.

These proposals also avoid splitting the joint parish of Bishop Thornton this was necessitated by the Local Government Boundary Commission basing their proposals on the Liberal Democrat proposal. This sees several divisions thrown together in an attempt to redraw the map at Pateley Bridge.

These proposals would leave three seats in Nidderdale – Upper Wharfedale and Upper Nidderdale, Nidderdale, and Lower Nidderdale. Names that accurately reflect the geography of the area and ensure divisions where issues are common. The seats also all have good electoral equality.

If it was decided that three wards containing Nidderdale was too many this could be changed to Dacre & Darley or Birstwith & Darley.

Boroughbridge

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Boroughbridge. It brings Boroughbridge together communities North of the river, which view themselves as Boroughbridge but have historically been in a different division. It

However, to create better electoral equality the joint parish of Cundall could be moved from Boroughbridge into Wathvale and Bishop Monkton.

Wathvale & Bishop Monkton

We agree with the North Yorkshire Council proposals for Wathvale and Bishop Monkton.

It creates a division to the South and East of Ripon based largely on the current division of the same name. The result is a much neater ward where the communities share common characteristics and look towards the City of Ripon.

The Local Government Boundary Commission in this area created Ripon Canal & Ure and Dishforth & Dalton - two messy seats which do not share common characteristics or represent a specific community.

However, to create better electoral equality the joint parish of Cundall could be moved from Boroughbridge into Wathvale and Bishop Monkton.

Primary Area	Division and Polling Districts	Elecorate	Comments
Selby Area	Osgoldcross	6389	Agreement with the boundary commission
	SEFB - Byram Cum Sutton	1118	
	SEFD - Beal	608	
	SEFE - Birkin	111	
	SEGA - Eggborough	2166	
	SEGB - Kellington	1174	
	SEGD - Cridling Stubbs	148	
	SEGF - Kirk Smeaton	348	
	SEGG - Little Smeaton	259	
	SEGH - Stapleton	51	
	SEGI - Stubbs Walden	55	
	SE GK - Womersley	351	
	Camblesforth & Carlton	6227	Agreement with boundary commission
	SECA - Camblesforth	1329	
	SECB - Carlton	1904	
	SECD - Drax	374	
	SECE - Hensall	724	
	SECF - Hirst Courtney	247	
	SECG - Long Drax	93	
	SECH - Newland	175	
	SECI - Temple Hirst	101	
	SEGC - Balne	213	
	SEGE - Heck	176	
	SEGI - Whitley	891	
	Brayton & Barlow	5698	Agreement with the boundary commission
	SEBA - Barlow	630	
	SEBB - Brayton - Town	1397	
	SEBC - Brayton - Village	3276	
	SEKA - Burn	395	
	Thorpe Willoughby & Hambleton	5755	Agreement with the boundary commission
	SECC - Chapel Haddlesey	180	
	SE CJ - West Haddlesey	180	
	SEKB - Gateforth	193	
	SEKC - Hambleton	2312	
	SEKD - Thorpe Willoughby	2890	
	Sherburn in Elmet & South Milford	12425	Agreement with boundary commission
	SEFA - Brotherton	565	
	SEFC - Fairburn	698	
	SEFF - Burton Salmon Main	356	
	SEFG - Hiliam	622	
	SEFH - Monk Fryston	824	
	SEFI - South Milford South	2093	
	SEJA - Huddleston with Newthorpe	63	
	SEJB - Sherburn - North	3957	
	SEJC - Sherburn - South	3187	
	SEJD - South Milford North	60	
	Selby West	6036	Agreement with boundary commission
	NPD - Part Selby (South Ward 1)	185	
	SEIA - Selby (West Ward 1)	716	
	SEIB - Selby (North Ward)	5135	
	Selby East	5707	Agreement with boundary commission
	SEHB - Selby (South Ward 1)	942	
	SEHC - Selby (St James Ward)	425	
	SEHD - Selby (South Ward 2)	1990	
	SEIC - Selby (West Ward 2)	1699	
	NPD - Part Selby (West Ward 1)	651	
	Barlby & Osgodby	6289	Agreement with boundary commission
	SEAA - Barlby & Osgodby (Barlby Village)	2775	
	SEED - Barlby and Osgodby (Osgodby Ward)	761	
	SEHA - Barlby and Osgodby (Barlby Bridge Ward)	917	
	NPD - Part Selby South 2	6	
	SEHE - Selby (South Ward 3)	1830	
	Cliffe & Escrick	5415	Agreement with boundary commission
	SEDD - Escrick	769	
	SEDF - Skipwith	270	
	SEDH - Thorganby	303	
	SEEA - Cliffe	1152	
	SEEB - Hemingbrough	1754	
	SEEC - North Duffield	1167	
	Cawood & Ricall	5385	Agreement with boundary commission
	SEAB - Riccall	2114	
	SEDA - Cawood	1356	
	SEDB - Ryther Cum Ossendyke	204	
	SEDC - Wistow	1026	
	SEDE - Kelfield	339	
	SEDG - Stillingfleet	346	

Appleton Roebuck & Church Fenton	WEAA - Appleton Roebuck & Acaster Selby (Acaster Selby)	38	5716 Addition of Healaugh and Wighill parishes
	WEAB - Appleton Roebuck & Acaster Selby (Applton Roebuck)	700	
	WEAC - Barkston Ash	292	
	WEAD - Biggin	111	
	WEAF - Bolton Percy; Colton & Steeton (Bolton Percy)	269	
	WEAH - Church Fenton	1160	
	WEAI - Bolton Percy; Colton & Steeton (Colton)	126	
	WEAJ - Towton; Grimston & Kirkby Wharfe (Grimston)	46	
	WEAL - Towton, Grimston & Kirkby Wharfe (Kirkby Wharfe)	74	
	WEAM - Saxton cum Scarthingwell with Lead (Lead)	42	
	WEAN - Little Fenton	91	
	WEAO - Oxton	11	
	WEAP - Bolton Percy; Colton & Steeton (Steeton)	26	
	WEAQ - Saxton cum Scarthingwell with Lead (Saxton cum Sca	460	
	WEAR - Townton, Grimston & Kirkby Wharfe (Towton)	180	
	WEAS - Ulleskelf	1094	
	WEHB - Stutton	372	
	WEAG - Healaugh and Catterton (Catterton)	45	
	WEAK - Healaugh and Catterton (Healaugh)	112	
	WEAE - Bilbrough	295	
	WEGE - Wighill	171	
Skipton area	South Craven (Glusburn, Cross Hills & Sutton-in-Craven)	5863	Agreement with Council submission
	SREA - Crosshills	2009	
	Part SREB - Glusburn	919	
	SREC - Sutton-in-Craven	2935	
	Aire Valley	5758	
	SRAA - Bradleys Both	1031	
	SRAB - Cononley	1156	
	SRAC - Farnhill	396	
	SRAD - Kildwick	186	
	SRAE - Lothersdale	475	
	SRAF - Cowling	1952	
	Part SREB - Glusburn	562	
	Bentham and Ingleton	6116	
	SRBA - Burton-In-Lonsdale	496	
	SRBB - Bentham (High Bentham Ward)	2111	
	SRBC - Bentham (Low Bentham Ward)	786	
	SRBF - Ingleton	1900	
	SRBH - Thornton-In-Lonsdale	282	
	SRBE - Clapham-Cum-Newby	541	
	Settle	5761	
	SRJA - Giggleswick	1060	
	SRJB - Horton-In-Ribblesdale	352	
	SRJC - Stainforth	190	
	SRJE - Langcliffe	308	
	SRJF - Settle	2530	
	SRBG - Lawkland	257	
	SRBD - Austwick	422	
	SRJG - Rathmell	274	The proposal to split what has been 3 communities united by a shared Parish Council is unworkable. Historically these villages have always looked to Settle.
	SRJD - Halton West	57	
	SRJH - Wigglesworth	311	
Mid Craven	SRDA - Malham	130	5374 Agreement with Council submission
	SRDB - Malham Moor	39	
	SRDC - Otterburn	36	
	SRDD - Scosthop	53	
	SRDE - Stirton-with-Thorlby	172	
	SRDF - Airton	166	
	SRDG - Bank Newton	44	
	SRDH - Calton	54	
	SRDI - Coniston Cold	168	
	SRDJ - Eshton	54	
	SRDK - Flasby-with-Winterburn	81	
	SRDL - Gargrave	1621	
	SRDM - Hanlith	26	
	SRDN - Kirkby Malham	84	
	SRDO - Hellifield	1250	
	SRDP - Long Preston	632	
	SRMF - Martons Both	248	
	SRMG - Thornton-In-Craven	391	
	SRME - Elslack	77	
	SRMC - Broughton	48	
	Skipton East	5223	Agreement with Boundary commission submission
	SRKA - Skipton East No.1	1440	
	SRKB - Skipton East No.2	1959	
	SRKD - Skipton South No.2	1824	
	Skipton West	5726	Agreement with Council submission
	SRMA - Skipton West No.1	1213	
	SRMB - Skipton West No.2	2304	
	SRKC - Skipton South No.1	1314	
	SRMD - Carleton	895	

	Skipton North & Embsay & Eastby	5724 Agreement with Council submission
	SRLB - Skipton North	3472
	SRLA - Embsay-with-Eastby	1646
	SRPF - Beamsley	104
	SRPL - Hazlewood-with-Storiths	70
	SRPG - Bolton Abbey	79
	SRPK - Halton East	84
	SRPE - Barden	59
	SRPJ - Draughton	210
	Wharfedale	5584 Significant changes to boundary commission proposal/Agreement with Council submission
	SRGC - Beverley	618
	SRGD - Upper Nidderdale (Fountains Earth)	153
	SRGF - High & Low Bishopside (Pateley Bridge)	993
	SRGG - Upper Nidderdale (Stonebeck Down)	151
	SRGH - Upper Nidderdale (Stonebeck Up)	75
	SRPA - Hetton-cum-Bordley	127
	SRPB - Rylstone	126
	SRPC - Thorpe	49
	SRPD - Appletreewick	175
	SRPH - Burnsall	82
	SRPI - Cracoe	146
	SRPM - Grassington	960
	SRPN - Hartlington	51
	SRPO - Hebden	195
	SRPP - Linton	113
	SRPQ - Arncliffe	61
	SRPR - Buckden	154
	SRPS - Conistone-with-Kilnsey	114
	SRPT - Halton Gill	45
	SRPU - Hawkswick	54
	SRPV - Litton	57
	SRPW - Kettlewell-with-Starbotton	270
	SRPX - Threshfield	817
Harrogate area	Pannal and Lower Wharfedale	6144 Agreement with Council submission
	SRNA - Weeton	810
	SRNE - Mid Wharfedale (Askwith)	212
	SRNF - Mid Wharfedale (Denton)	86
	SRNG - Mid Wharfedale (Weston)	167
	SRNH - Haverah Park with Beckwithshaw (Beckwithshaw)	870
	SRNI - Haverah Park with Beckwithshaw (Haverah Park)	26
	SRNJ - Lower Washburn (Farnley)	286
	SRNK - Lower Washburn (Lindley)	52
	SRNL - Washburn (Blubberhouses)	26
	SRNM - Washburn (Fewston)	161
	SRNN - Washburn (Great Timble)	81
	SRNO - Washburn (Little Timble)	6
	SRNP - Lower Washburn (Castley)	58
	SRNQ - Lower Washburn (Leathley)	156
	SRNR - Lower Washburn (Stainburn)	130
	SRNS - Mid Wharfedale (Middleton)	85
	SRNT - Mid Wharfedale (Nesfield with Langbar)	157
	SRNU - Newall with Clifton	120
	SRNV - North Rigton	399
	SRNW - Norwood	196
	HKKB - Pannal and Burn Bridge	2060
	Bilton & Nidd Gorge	5492 Agreement with Council submission
	HKAA - Harrogate Bilton Woodfield	3169 We feel it is essential that the ten divisions within Harrogate sit within the Harrogate Town Council boundary. This creates ten wards with good electoral equality. This view was shared by the cross-party working group (including the Liberal Democrats on the committee)
	HKAB - Harrogate Old Bilton	2323
	Bilton Grange & Knox	5718 Agreement with Council submission
	HKBA - Harrogate Bilton Grange	3140
	HKBC - Harrogate New Park 2	711
	HKBB - Harrogate New Park 1	836
	New - Part PD Harrogate Old Bilton	666
	New - Part PD Harrogate Coppice Valley	364
	Oakdale	5771 Agreement with Council submission
	HKDB - Harrogate Duchy	2607
	HKHA - Harrogate Saltergate	1712
	New - Part PD Harrogate New Park 2	1453
	Starbeck	5403 Agreement with Council submission
	HKEA - Harrogate Fairfax	1135 Similar to boundary commission proposal
	HKEB - Harrogate Starbeck	2885
	New - Part PD Harrogate Kingsley 2	1355
	New - Part PD Harrogate Kingsley 1	28
	Harlow	5871 Agreement with Council submission
	HKFA - Harrogate Harlow	2786 Agreement boundary commission proposal
	HKFB - Harrogate St Georges	3085
	Granby	5875 Similar to boundary commission proposal
	HKGA - Harrogate High Harrogate	1513
	HKGB - Harrogate Kingsley 1	1768
	HKGC - Harrogate Kingsley 2	720
	New - Part PD Harrogate Fairfax	1874

	Oatlands & Rossett HKKA - Harrogate Oatlands HKKC - Harrogate Pannal New - Part PD Stray 2 New - Part PD Harrogate St Georges	5837 Agreement with Council submission 3418 Agreement boundary commission proposal 1226 710 483
	Stray & Woodlands HKLA - Harrogate Hookstone HKLB - Harrogate Stray 1 HKLC - Harrogate Stray 2 New - Part PD Harrogate Fairfax 2	5924 Agreement with Council submission 2908 Agreement boundary commission proposal 2170 525 321
	Central and Valley Gardens HKMA - Harrogate Central HKMB - Harrogate Valley Gardens New - Part PD Harlow	5828 Agreement with Council submission 2133 This keeps communities that see themselves as the town centre together 3252 443
	High Harrogate HKDA - Harrogate Coppice Valley New - Part PD High Harrogate New - Part PD Harrogate Central	5730 Agreement with Council submission 2802 1859 1069
	Lower Nidderdale HKHB - Killinghall (Saltergate Ward) HKHC - Hampsthwaite HKHD - Killinghall (Rural Ward) HKDC - Killinghall (Oakdale Ward) SRFR - South Stainley with Cayton SRFA - Nidd SRFB - Ripley	6019 Agreement with Council submission 1846 Brings the parish of Killinghall together 1049 Combines villages to the North of Harrogate that see themselves as Harrogate villages 2176 Avoids taking in parts of Knaresborough and Knaresborough villages that are not Nidderdale 502 154 115 177
	Knaresborough East HKJA - Knaresborough (Aspin & Calcutt Ward 1) HKJB - Knaresborough (Aspin & Calcutt Ward 2) HKIA - Knaresborough (Eastfield Ward)	6259 Agreement with Boundary commission 2733 Retains two urban Knaresborough divisions 397 3129
	Knaresborough West HKIB - Knaresborough (Scriven Park Ward 1) HKIC - Knaresborough (Scriven Park Ward 2) New - Part PD Castle	5461 Similar to boundary commission proposal 1982 746 2733
	Knaresborough Rural New - Part PD Castle New - Part PD Scriven Park 2 HKID - Scriven HKCD - Brearton HKCM - Burton Leonard HKCH - Farnham HKCC - Ferrensby HKCI - Scotton HKCJ - Walkingham Hill with Occaney HKCK - Staveley and Copgrove (Copgrove) HKCL - Staveley and Copgrove (Staveley) HKCB - Arkendale, Coneythorpe and Clareton (Coneythorpe) HKCA - Arkendale, Coneythorpe and Clareton (Arkendale) HKCF - Goldsborough and Flaxby (Flaxby) HKCG - Goldsborough and Flaxby (Golsborough)	5264 Combines part of Knaresbrough with the Claro villages which consider themselves Knaresborough villages. Their addresses are all 'Knaresborough, HG5' 829 933 298 120 657 183 171 580 15 100 450 83 254 96 494
Richmond and Northallerton	Upper Dales RNNA - Melbecks (Gunnerside) RNNB - Hawes & High Abbotside (Hawes) RNNC - Hawes & High Abbotside (High Abbotside) RNND - Melbecks (Low Row) RNNE - Muker RNNF - Arkengarthdale RNNG - Hudswell & District (Downholme) RNNH - Grinton & Ellerton Abbey (Ellerton Abbey) RNNI - Grinton & Ellerton Abbey (Grinton) RNNJ - Hudswell & District (Hudswell) RNNK - Marrick RNNL - Marske & New Forest (Marske) RNNM - Marske & New Forest (New Forest) RNNN - Reeth RNNO - Hudswell & District (Stainton) RNNP - Hudswell & District (Walburn) RNNQ - Askrigg & Low Abbotside (Askrigg) RNNR - Aysgarth & District (Aysgarth) RNNs - Bainbridge RNNt - Aysgarth & District (Bishopdale) RNNu - Burton Cum Walden RNNv - Askrigg & Low Abbotside (Low Abbotside) RNNw - Aysgarth & District (Newbiggin) RNNx - Aysgarth & District (Thoraby) RNEB - Carperby Cum Thoresby RNEE - Preston-under-Scar RNEF - Redmire RNNY - Aysgarth & District (Thornton Rust) RNEC - Castle Bolton	5375 Agreement with Boundary commission 118 894 185 139 245 197 34 12 161 269 116 71 11 603 11 7 366 161 413 21 252 93 66 132 186 142 316 97 53
	Leyburn & Lower Wensleydale	5857 Similar to boundary commission proposal. Removes Hunton as Hunton does not look towards Leyburn. It looks towards either Bedale or the Garrison.

RNEA - Bellerby	335
RNED - Leyburn	2217
RNEG - Wensley	121
RNEH - Caldbergh With East Scrafton	31
RNEI - Carlton Highdale	83
RNEJ - Carlton Town	121
RNEK - Coverham With Agglethorpe	58
RNEL - East Witton	201
RNEM - Melmerby	37
RNEN - Middleham	718
RNLA - Constable Burton & Finghall (Akebar)	18
RNLB - Constable Burton & Finghall (Barden)	29
RNLC - Constable Burton & Finghall (Constable Burton)	142
RNLD - Constable Burton & Finghall (East Hauxwell)	37
RNLE - Constable Burton & Finghall (Finghall)	157
RNLF - Constable Burton & Finghall (Garriston)	30
RNLH - Constable Burton & Finghall (Hutton Hang)	22
RNLM - Constable Burton & Finghall (West Hauxwell)	7
RNLG - Harmby	347
RNLK - Spennithorne	168
RNLL - Thornton Steward	155
RNEO - West Scrafton	48
RNEP - West Witton	320
RNLI - Newton Le Willows	309
RNLJ - Patrick Brompton	147
North Richmondshire	6249 Agreement with Boundary commission
RNGA - Barton	704
RNGAA - Forcett	78
RNGBB - Melsonby	656
RNGC - Manfield with Cliffe (Cliffe)	25
RNGCC - Stanwick St John	115
RNGDD - West Layton	44
RNGG - Manfield with Cliffe (Manfield)	232
RNGJ - Newton Morrell	36
RNGN - Dalton (Richmond)	162
RNGO - Gayles	116
RNGQ - Kirby Hill	50
RNGR - Newsham	296
RNGS - Ravensworth	215
RNGU - Whashton	116
RNGV - Aldbrough St John	359
RNGW - Caldwell	125
RNGX - Carkin	44
RNGY - East Layton	72
RNGZ - Eppleby	234
RNJB - Richmond North	1653
RNGM - Aske	85
RNGP - Gilling with Hartforth & Sedbury	490
RNGT - Skeeby	344
Richmond	5259 Agreement with Boundary commission
RNJA - Richmond (Richmond East Ward)	1666
RNJC - Richmond (Richmond West Ward 1)	1687
RNJD - Richmond (Richmond West Ward 2)	1699
RNCE - St Martins	207
Hipswell & Colburn	6295 Agreement with Boundary commission
RNCA - Colburn (Colburn Town Ward)	2964
RNCB - Colburn (Albermarle Ward)	651
RNCC - Hipswell 1	1948
RNCD - Hipswell 2	449
RNLP - Colburn (Colburn Moor)	284
Brompton & Scorton	6193 Agreement with Boundary commission
RNGB - Stapleton & Cleasby (Cleasby)	136
RNGD - Croft-on-Tees	370
RNGE - Dalton-on-Tees	214
RNGF - Eryholme	57
RNGK - North Cowton	434
RNFC - East Cowton	559
RNFE - South Cowton	86
RNGL - Stapleton & Cleasby (Stapleton)	165
RNAE - Easby	114
RNAB - Brompton on Swale	1496
RNAC - Brough With St Giles	875
RNAA - Bolton-on-Swale	50
RNGH - Middleton Tyas	540
RNAF - Ellerton-on-Swale	105
RNAG - Scorton	793
RNAH - Uckerby	58
RNGI - Moulton	141
Northallerton North & Brompton	5678 Agreement with Boundary commission
RNHA - Brompton	2600
New - Part PD North Northallerton	2683
RNFR - Danby Wiske with Lazenby	262
RNFDD - Yafforth	133
Northallerton South	6178 Agreement with Boundary commission
RNIA - Northallerton (Northallerton Central Ward)	3570

RNIB - Northallerton (Northallerton South Ward)	1706
New - Part PD North Northallerton	902
Romanby	5699 Agreement with Boundary commission
RNKA - Romanby (Broomfield Ward)	1828
RNFFF - South Otterington	319
RNFCC - Warlaby	31
RNFGG - South Otterington (Maunby)	129
RNFHH - South Otterington (Newby Wiske)	131
RNFAA - North Otterington	31
RNKB - Romanby (Romanby Ward)	3230
Great Ayton	5867 Agreement with Council submission. Similar to boundary commission proposal
RNBA - Bilsdale Midcable	279 Addition of Bilsdale back into Great Ayton.
RNBB - Great Ayton (Marwood)	1730
RNBC - Great Ayton (Roseberry)	2097
RNBD - Little Ayton	95
RNBE - Ingleby Greenhow	305
RNBF - Kildale	126
RNMA - Great and Little Broughton	892
RNMB - Kirkby	256
RNBG - Easby	87
Hutton Rudby & Appleton Wiske	6061 Agreement with Boundary commission
RNDA - Crathorne	133
RNDB - Rudby (Hutton Rudby)	1408
RNDD - Rudby (Rudby)	275
RNDE - Rudby (Sexhow)	15
RNDM - East Harlsey	230
RNDN - Ingleby Arncliffe	301
RNDO - Osmotherley Area (Kirby Sigston)	78
RNDP - Osmotherley Area (Sowerby-under-Cotcliffe)	37
RNDQ - Osmotherley Area (Osmotherley)	509
RNDR - Osmotherley Area (Ellerbeck)	44
RNDS - Osmotherley Area (Thimbleby)	47
RNDT - Osmotherley Area (West Harlsey)	31
RNDU - Osmotherley Area (Winton Stank & Hallikeld)	55
RNDV - Potto	256
RNFF - Rounton (East Rounton)	82
RNFG - Rounton (West Rounton)	164
RNFQ - Welbury	226
RNFA - Appleton Wiske	410
RNFB - Picton	105
RNFO - Worsall (High Worsall)	28
RNFJ - Girsby	37
RNFP - Worsall (Low Worsall)	252
RNFI - Deighton	87
RNFN - Over Dinsdale	58
RNFD - Birkby	29
RNFL - Hutton Bonville	61
RNDW - Whorlton	508
RNFH - Smeatons with Hornby (Great Smeaton)	173
RNFK - Smeatons with Hornby (Hornby)	222
RNFM - Smeatons with Hornby (Little Smeaton)	38
RNDC - Rudby (Middleton-on-Leven)	66
RNDF - Rudby (Skutterskelfe)	96
Stokesley	5627 Agreement with Boundary commission
RNDG - Seamer	517
RNDH - Newby	183
RNDI - Carlton	239
RNDJ - Faceby	171
RNMC - Stokesley	4430
RNDK - Great Busby	68
RNDL - Little Busby	18
Bedale and Aiskew	5966 Agreement with Council submission
TMCA - Bedale	2721
TMCK - Snape with Thorp	331
TMCL - Firby	33
TMCM - Thornton Watlass (Thornton Watlass)	168
TMCN - Thornton Watlass (Burill with Cowling)	71
TMCO - Thornton Watlass (Clifton-on-Yore)	31
TMCP - Thornton Watlass (Rookwith)	20
TMCQ - Thornton Watlass (Thirn)	69
TMCR - Tanfield (East Tanfield)	24
TMCS - Tanfield (West Tanfield)	560
TMAA - Aiskew and Leeming Bar (Aiskew Ward)	1712
TMCT - Well	220
TMAJ - Rand Grange	5
Catterick Village and Crakehall	5509 Agreement with Council submission
RNAD - Catterick Village	2037
RNLT - Tunstall	251
RNLN - Appleton East and West	64
RNLQ - Hornby	107
TMAF - Crakehall with Langthorne (Crakehall)	516
TMAI - Crakehall with Langthorne (Langthorne)	57
TMAG - Hackforth (Ainderby Miers with Holtby)	19
TMAH - Hackforth (Hackforth)	156
RNLO - Arrathorne	69

	RNLR - Hunton	377	
	RNLS - Scotton	1855	
	Swale	5692	Agreement with Council submission
	TMAB - Aiskew and Leeming Bar (Leeming Bar Ward)	1051	
	TMAK - Exelby, Leeming and Londonderry	1220	
	TMAC - Burneston (Burneston)	289	
	TMAD - Burneston (Swainby with Allerthorpe)	16	
	TMAE - Burneston (Theakston)	67	
	TMAL - Gatenby	39	
	TMCB - Carthorpe	239	
	TMCF - Pickhill with Roxby & Sinderby (Pickhill)	345	
	RNFBB - Thrintoft	108	
	RNFEE - Scruton	385	
	RNFS - Great Langton	99	
	RNFU - Little Langton	37	
	RNFT - Kiplin	45	
	RNFV - Whitwell	27	
	RNFW - Killerby	20	
	RNFX - Kirkby Fleetham with Fencote	457	
	RNFY - Morton-on-Swale	575	
	RNFZ - Ainderby Steeple	178	
	TMCG - Pickhill with Roxby & Sinderby (Sinderby)	119	
	TMCH - Ainderby Quernhow	49	
	TMCI - Holme	46	
	TMCI - Howe	16	
	TMCC - Kirklington with Sutton Howgrave (Howgrave)	2	
	TMCD - Kirklington (Kirklington-cum-Upsland)	191	
	TMCE - Kirklington with Sutton Howgrave (Sutton Howgrave)	73	
Scarborough & Whitby	Castle	6310	Agreement with Boundary Commission
	SWAA - Castle No. 1	2223	
	SWAB - Castle No. 2	1317	
	SWAC - Castle No. 3	2729	
	NPD - Part Ramshill to Castle	41	
	Cayton	5431	*Agreement with Boundary Commission
	SWBA - Cayton	2966	*Boundary commission agreed with North Yorkshire Council proposals although map was incorrect.
	SWBB - Gristhorpe and Lebberston (Gristhorpe)	430	*Explanation explained that the new build 'Middle Deepdale' are should be moved out of Eastfield as this did not identify as part of the Eastfield community.
	SWBC - Gristhorpe and Lebberston (Lebberston)	145	
	SWBD - Osgodby No. 1	1225	
	SWBE - Osgodby No. 2	4	
	NPD - was part Eastway 1 and 2 from Eastfield	660	
	Danby, Glaisdale & Mulgarve	5947	Agreement with Boundary Commission
	SWCA - Danby Group (Danby)	580	Suggested name change to add Mulgrave to better reflet the whole area
	SWCB - Danby Group (Botton)	94	
	SWCC - Danby Group (Castleton)	414	
	SWCD - Danby Group (Commondale)	115	
	SWCE - Danby Group (Westerdale)	120	
	SWCF - Glaisdale (Lealholm Ward)	340	
	SWCG - Hinderwell (Hinderwell Ward)	754	
	SWCH - Hinderwell (Staithe's Ward)	724	
	SWCI - Lythe (Lythe)	166	
	SWCJ - Lythe (Sandsend)	109	
	SWCK - Mickleby Group (Mickleby)	125	
	SWCL - Mickleby Group (Barnby)	67	
	SWCM - Mickleby Group (Ellerby)	33	
	SWCN - Newholm-Cum-Dunsley	161	
	SWFA - Egton	380	
	SWFC - Glaisdale (Glaisdale Ward)	520	
	SWFD - Goathland	374	
	SWFE - Grosmont	276	
	SWFG - Aislaby	234	
	SWCO - Roxby Group (Borrowby)	44	
	SWCP - Roxby Group (Newton Mulgrave)	27	
	SWCQ - Roxby Group (Roxby)	97	
	SWCR - Ugthorpe Group (Hutton Mulgrave)	30	
	SWCS - Ugthorpe Group (Ugthorpe)	164	
	Eastfield	5803	Agreement with Boundary Commission
	SWEA - Eastfield (Eastway Ward 1)	2788	
	SWEB - Eastfield (Westway Ward)	3015	
	Esk Valley & The Coast	5407	Similar to boundary commission proposal
	SWFB - Eskdaleside-Cum-Ugglebarnby	1952	Ruswarp forms part of the area covered by Whitby Town Council and should not be split from Whitby Streonshalh
	SWFF - Sneaton	149	
	SWFH - Fylingdales	1046	
	SWFJ - Staintondale (Ravenscar Ward)	146	
	SWJB - Cloughton	597	
	SWJA - Burniston	1408	
	SWFK - Staintondale	110	
	Falsgrave & Stepney	6231	Agreement with Boundary Commission
	SWGA - Falsgrave & Stepney No. 1	1481	
	SWGB - Falsgrave & Stepney No. 2	1585	
	SWGC - Falsgrave & Stepney No. 3	1335	
	SWGD - Falsgrave & Stepney No. 4	1683	
	SWOE - Woodlands No. 5	147	

	Newby	5920 Agreement with Boundary Commission
	SWHA - Newlands	2050
	SWHB - Lady Edith	1714
	SWHC - Newby	1385
	SWHD - St Mark's	11
	NPD - Part Scalby to Newby	760
	Northstead	5992 Agreement with Boundary Commission
	SWIA - Northstead No. 1	837
	SWIB - Northstead No. 2	1398
	SWIC - Northstead No. 3	1295
	SWID - Northstead No. 4	2462
	Scalby & Derwent	5729 Agreement with Boundary Commission
	SWDA - Brompton by Sawdon (Brompton Ward)	270
	SWDB - Brompton by Sawdon (Sawdon Ward)	129
	SWDD - Hutton Buscel	263
	SWDE - Snainton	746
	SWDF - West Ayton	866
	SWDG - Wykeham	209
	SWDH - Hackness and Harwood Dale Group (Hackness)	107
	SWDI - Hackness and Harwood Dale Group (Broxa)	32
	SWDJ - Hackness and Harwood Dale Group (Darncombe cum Lan	41
	SWDK - Hackness and Harwood Dale Group (Silpho)	35
	SWDL - Hackness and Harwood Dale Group (Suffield cum Ever	58
	SWDM - Hackness and Harwood Dale Group (Harwood Dale)	119
	SWJC - Scalby	2851
	Seamer & East Ayton	5619 Agreement with Boundary Commission
	SWKA - Irton	292
	SWKB - Seamer (Crossgates Ward)	1998
	SWDC - East Ayton	1581
	SWKC - Seamer (Seamer Ward)	1748
	Weaponness & Ramshill	6390 Agreement with Boundary Commission
	SWLA - Ramshill	3302
	SWLB - Weaponness No. 1	1338
	SWLC - Weaponness No. 2	1750
	Whitby Streonshalh	5881 Similar to boundary commission proposal
	SWMA - Whitby (Abbey Ward 1)	882 Addition of Ruswarp as part of Whitby Parish.
	SWMB - Whitby (Abbey Ward 2)	2470 Removal of Town North ward
	SWMC - Whitby (Town South Ward)	596
	SWFI - Hawsker-Cum-Stainsacre	621
	SWNB - Whitby (Ruswarp Ward 2)	499
	SWNA - Whitby (Ruswarp Ward 1)	813
	Whitby West	6116 Similar to boundary commission proposal
	SWMD - Whitby (Town North Ward)	991 Ruswarp sits better with Town South and Abbey polling districts so should be moved in Streonshalh
	SWNC - Whitby (Stakesby Ward)	2568 Town North sits better with Whitby West
	SWND - Whitby (West Cliff Ward)	2161
	SWNE - Whitby (White Leys Ward)	397
	Woodlands	6098 Agreement with boundary Commission
	SWOA - Woodlands No. 1	1361
	SWOB - Woodlands No. 2	1095
	SWOC - Woodlands No. 3	955
	SWOD - Woodlands No. 4	1432
	SWOF - Woodlands No. 6	674
	NPD - Part Sandbybed to Woodlands	582
Malton		
	Filey	5904 Agreement with boundary Commission
	TMDA - Filey No. 1	3611
	TMDB - Filey No. 2	2293
	Hunmanby	5485 Agreement with boundary Commission
	TMGA - Ganton	176
	TMGD - Willerby	584
	TMGE - Reighton	337
	TMGF - Folkton	426
	TMGG - Hunmanby	2802
	TMGH - Muston	292
	TMDC - Filey (Primrose Valley Ward)	359
	TMON - Foxholes	214
	TMOR - Weaverthorpe	294
	Thornton Dale & Sherburn	5573 Agreement with boundary Commission
	TMOA - Heslerton (Heslerton West Ward)	154 Name changed as The Wolds are no longer in the Division
	TMOB - Rillington	1037
	TMOC - Thorpe Bassett	90
	TMOD - Scampston	279
	TMOE - Allerston and Wilton (Allerston)	288
	TMOF - Allerston and Wilton (Wilton)	121
	TMOG - Ebberston w Yedingham and Bickley (Bickley Ward)	46
	TMOH - Ebberston with Yedingham and Bickley (Ebberston)	373
	TMOI - Ebberston with Yedingham and Bickley (Yedingham)	77
	TMOJ - Levisham	76
	TMOK - Lockton	209
	TMOL - Marishes	123
	TMOM - Thornton Dale	1633

TMOT - Wintringham	178
TMGB - Heslerton (Heslerton East Ward)	160
TMGC - Sherburn	728
Malton and Norton	12137 Agreement with boundary Commission
TMIA - Malton 1	3070
TMIB - Malton 2	392
TMIC - Malton 3	1743
TMJA - Norton on Derwent (Norton East Ward)	4057
TMJB - Norton on Derwent (Norton West Ward)	2875
Pickering	6523 Agreement with boundary Commission
TMKA - Pickering (Pickering East Ward)	3519
TMKB - Pickering (Pickering West Ward)	3004
Kirkbymoorside & The Dales	5430 Similar to boundary commission proposal
TMHA - Cropton	213
TMHO - Hartoft	47
TMHS - Rosedale East and West (Rosedale East)	198
TMHT - Rosedale East and West (Rosedale West)	87
TMHD - Newton upon Rawcliffe and Stape (Newton upon Rawcl	163
TMHE - Newton upon Rawcliffe and Stape (Stape)	97
TMHF - Aislaby, Middleton and Wreton (Aislaby)	65
TMHG - Aislaby, Middleton and Wreton (Middleton)	343
TMHH - Aislaby, Middleton and Wreton (Wreton)	201
TMHP - Hutton Le Hole	137
TMHQ - Lastingham	88
TMHR - Spaunton	62
TMHI - Appleton Le Moors	151
TMHU - Kirkbymoorside	2759
TMHV - Welburn (Kirkbymoorside)	60
TMER - Sinnington	261
TMEJ - Edstone	125
TMHC - Normanby	116
TMHB - Marton	191
TMEQ - Salton	68
Helmsley & Ampleforth	5334 Similar to boundary commission proposal
TMHJ - Bransdale	44 Removal of Bilsdale into Great Ayton
TMHK - Farndale East and West (Farndale East)	76 Re-addition of TMBI - Byland with Wass & Oldstead (Oldstead) and TMBH - Byland with Wass & Olstead (Byland with Wass)
TMHL - Farndale East and West (Farndale West)	65
TMHM - Fadmoor	91
TMHN - Gillamoor	121
TMEA - Hawnby	146
TMEB - Helmsley	1623
TMEC - Sproxton	105
TMED - Beadlam	188
TMEE - Nawton	543
TMEF - Cold Kirby	80
TMEG - Old Byland and Scawton	127
TMEH - Pockley	82
TMEI - Rievaulx	60
TMEK - Harome	222
TMEM - Nunnington	203
TMEN - Stonegrave	87
TMEO - Oswaldkirk	208
TMBG - Ampleforth	785
TMHW - Wombleton	282
TMBI - Byland with Wass & Oldstead (Oldstead)	64
TMBH - Byland with Wass & Olstead (Byland with Wass)	132
Amotherby & Hovingham	5305 Name change as Division does not contain Howardian Hills
TMBJ - Gilling East w Cawton, Coulton & Grimston (Cawton)	74
TMBK - Gilling East w Cawton Coulton & Grimston (Coulton)	57
TMBL - Gilling East w Cawton Coulton & Gri (Gilling East)	178
TMBM - Gilling East w Cawton Coulton & Grimstone (Grimsto	47
TMBN - Hovingham and Scackleton (Scackleton)	98
TMBO - Barton Le Street	169
TMBP - Hovingham and Scackleton (Hovingham)	337
TMBS - Terrington	413
TMBQ - Slingsby, South Holme and Fryton (Fryton)	42
TMBR - Slingsby, South Holme and Fryton (Slingsby)	551
TMBA - Amotherby	354
TMBB - Appleton Le Street with Easthorpe	101
TMBC - Habton	277
TMBD - Kirby Misperton	262
TMEL - Barugh	149
TMEP - Brawby	141
TMBE - Broughton	188
TMBF - Swinton	483
TMLL - Coneysthorpe	55
TMLM - Henderskelfe	34
TMLK - Bulmer	159
TMLN - Welburn (Welburn Ward)	296
TMLO - Welburn (Crambeck Ward)	132
TMLEE - Whitwell on the Hill and Crambe (Whitwell)	149
TMLE - Huttons Ambo	229
TMLP - Westow	304
TMES - Slingsby, South Holme and Fryton (South Holme)	28
Sheriff Hutton & Derwent	5340 Agreement with boundary commission

TMLA - Acklam	138
TMLAA - Claxton and Sand Hutton (Claxton)	172
TMLB - Birdsall	158
TMLBB - Claxton and Sand Hutton (Sand Hutton)	181
TMLC - Burythorpe	202
TMLCC - Barton Le Willows	176
TMLD - Howsham	103
TMLDD - Whitwell on the Hill and Crambe (Crambe)	53
TMLF - Langton	86
TMLG - Leavening	305
TMLH - Scagglethorpe	193
TMLI - Scrayingham	176
TMLJ - Settrington	276
TMLQ - Flaxton	282
TMLR - Foston with Thornton le Clay (Thornton le Clay)	165
TMLS - Lillings Ambo	141
TMLT - Sheriff Hutton	913
TMLU - Harton	73
TMLV - Foston with Thornton le Clay (Foston)	78
TMLW - Gate Helmsley and Upper Helmsley (Gate Helmsley)	265
TMLX - Gate Helmsley and Upper Helmsley (Upper Helmsley)	34
TMLY - Warthill	191
TMLZ - Buttercrambe with Bossall	84
TMOO - Kirby Grindalythe	265
TMOP - Luttons	355
TMOQ - Thixendale	176
TMOS - Wharram	98

Thirsk/Easingwold

Tadcaster	5689	Removal of Healaugh and Wighill
WEHA - Newton Kyme cum Toulston	462	
WEHC - Stutton - Town	405	
WEHD - Tadcaster (East Ward)	2029	
WEHE - Tadcaster (West Ward - Calcaria)	1391	
WEHF - Tadcaster (West Ward - St Joseph's)	1401	
Spofforth with Tockwith	5315	Agreement with Boundary commission
WEGJ - Kirby Overblow (Dunkeswick Ward)	113	
WEGK - Kirby Overblow (Kirby Overblow Ward)	291	
WEGM - Kearby with Netherby	193	
WEGN - Sicklinghall	271	
WEGO - Spofforth	1029	
WEGF - Follifoot and Plompton (Follifoot)	498	
WEGG - Follifoot and Plompton (Plompton)	94	
WEGH - Kirk Deighton	447	
WEGI - North Deighton	74	
WEGL - Little Ribston	190	
WEGB - Bilton in Ainsty with Bickerton	372	
WEGC - Tockwith and Wilstrop (Tockwith Cowthorpe Ward)	131	
WEGD - Tockwith and Wilstrop (Tockwith Tockwith Ward)	1611	
Ouseburn	5275	Similar to Council submission
WEGA - Cattal, Hunsingore and Walshford (Walshford)	52	Addition of Allerton, which makes the A1 a logical boundary
WEFA - Marton Cum Grafton	443	
WEFB - Cattal, Hunsingore & Walshford (Cattal)	103	
WEFC - Cattal, Hunsingore & Walshford (Hunsingore)	138	
WEFD - Kirk Hammerton	746	
WEFE - Thornville	15	
WEFF - Long Marston	483	
WCFG - Tockwith & Wilstrop (Wilstrop)	41	
WEFH - Great Ouseburn	554	
WEFI - Dunsforths	223	
WEFJ - Green Hammerton	854	
WEFK - Little Ouseburn (Kirby Hall)	16	
WEFL - Little Ouseburn (Little Ouseburn)	219	
WEFM - Little Ouseburn (Thorpe Underwoods)	82	
WEFN - Moor Monkton	281	
WEFO - Nun Monkton	230	
WEFP - Whixley	667	
HKCE - Allerton Mauleverer with Hopperton	127	
Easingwold	6075	Similar to Council submission
WECA - Alne	611	Addition of WEDK - Husthwaite and WEDL - Thornton-on-the-Hill
WECB - Alne (Aldwark)	161	
WECC - Alne (Flawith)	77	
WECD - Alne (Youlton)	30	
WECF - Easingwold	4819	
WEDK - Husthwaite	342	
WEDL - Thornton-on-the-Hill	36	
Huby & Tollerton	5660	Agreement with Council submission
WEEA - Linton-on-Ouse	584	
WEEB - Newton-on-Ouse	498	
WEEC - Shipton	600	
WEED - Shipton (Beningbrough)	47	
WEEE - Shipton (Overton)	47	
WEEF - Tollerton	884	
WEEK - Huby	1079	
WEEL - Stillington	758	
WEEM - Stillington (Farlington)	94	

	WEEN - Stillington (Marton-cum-Moxby)	60
	WEEO - Sutton-on-the-Forest	675
	WECE - Crayke	333
	Thirsk	5904 Agreement with Council submission
	TMNA - Carlton Miniott	930 Carlton Miniott should be with Thirsk.
	TMNB - Sandhutton	216
	TMNC - Sandhutton (Catton)	96
	TMND - Kirby Wiske (Kirby Wiske)	95
	TMNE - Kirby Wiske (Newsham with Breckenbrough)	108
	TMNF - Skipton on Swale	65
	TMNG - Thirsk (East of Cod Beck)	2982
	TMNH - Thirsk (West of Cod Beck)	1412
	Sowerby & Topcliffe	6163 Agreement with Council submission
	TMMA - Dalton	671
	TMME - Sowerby	3215
	TMMF - Sowerby Gateway	1535
	TMMG - Topcliffe	743
	Hillside	6208 Similar to Council submission
	TMFA - Siltons & Kepwick (Nether Silton)	74
	TMFB - Siltons & Kepwick (Kepwick)	65
	TMFC - Siltons & Kepwick (Landmoth-cum-Catto)	17
	TMFD - Siltons & Kepwick (Over Silton)	55
	TMFE - Bagby and Balk (Bagby)	431
	TMFF - Bagby and Balk (Balk)	55
	TMFG - Borrowby	320
	TMFH - Borrowby (Leake)	6
	TMFI - Knayton (Cowesby)	51
	TMFJ - Knayton (Knayton with Brawith)	288
	TMFK - Knayton (Upsall)	50
	TMFL - South Kilvington	214
	TMFM - South Kilvington (North Kilvington)	28
	TMFN - South Kilvington (Thornbrough)	15
	TMFO - Sutton (Boltby)	118
	TMFP - Sutton (Felixkirk)	80
	TMFQ - Sutton (Hood Grange)	2
	TMFR - Sutton (Kirby Knowle)	55
	TMFS - Sutton (Sutton-under-Whitstonecliffe)	252
	TMFT - Sutton (Thirby)	102
	TMFU - Thornton-le-Beans	209
	TMFV - Thornton-le-Beans (Cotcliffe)	10
	TMFW - Thornton-le-Beans (Crosby)	21
	TMFX - Thornton-le-Beans (Thornton-le-Moor)	261
	TMFY - Thornton-le-Beans (Thornton-le-Street)	93
	WEDA - Carlton Husthwaite	142
	WEDB - Carlton Husthwaite (Angram Grange)	11
	WEDH - Brafferton and Helperby	678
	WEDI - Fawdington	8
	WEDJ - Myton-on-Swale	124
	WEDM - Raskelf	489
	WEDN - Birdforth	19
	WEDO - Thormanby	102
	WEDP - Thirkleby High & Low with Osgodby	228
	WEDQ - Tholthorpe	211
	TMMC - Sessay	279
	TMMD - Hutton Sessay	78
	TMMB - Eldmire with Crakehill	18
	WEEH - Brandsby (Dalby-cum-Skewsby)	86
	WEEI - Brandsby (Whenby)	62
	WEEJ - Brandsby (Yearsley)	97
	WEEG - Brandsby	235
	WEDC - Coxwold	151
	WEDD - Coxwold (Kilburn High and Low)	170
	WEDE - Coxwold (Newburgh)	39
	WEDF - Coxwold (Oulston)	92
	WEDG - Coxwold (Wildon Grange)	17
Ripon	Ripon South	6196 Agreement with Council submission
	SRHA - Ripon (Minster Ward)	2545 Ripon City are too big for two divisions. Sensible to remove the new Clothierhome development into a rural ward as this area is newly developed and therefore does not share the historical links with the City that other areas do.
	SRHB - Ripon (Moorside Ward)	3297
	SRFN - Littlethorpe 2 Quarry Park	354
	Ripon North	6041 Agreement with Council submission
	SRIA - Ripon (Spa Ward 1)	1397
	SRIB - Ripon (Spa Ward 2)	1018
	SRIC - Ripon (Ure Bank Ward)	2904
	New - Part PD SRHA Minster Ward 2	722
	Masham & Fountains	6035 Agreement with Council submission
	SRFAA - Grewelthorpe	439
	SRFBB - Kirkby Malzeard, Laverton & Dallowgill (Kirkby)	723
	SRFCC - Kirkby Malzeard, Laverton & Dallowgill (Laverton)	256
	SRFDD - Masham (Burton on Yore)	63
	SRFEE - Masham (Ellington High & Low)	58
	SRFF - Galphay	188
	SRFFF - Masham (Masham)	1033
	SRFG - Grantley and Sawley (Eavestone)	22
	SRFGG - Masham (Swinton with Warthermarkse)	62

SRFH - Grantley and Sawley (Grantley)	118
SRFI - Grantley and Sawley (Skelding)	25
SRFJ - Azerley and Winksley (Winksley)	124
SRFK - Azerley and Winksley (Mickley Ward)	120
SRFL - Azerley and Winksley (Azerley Ward)	36
SRFM - Littlethorpe 1	323
SRFO - Markenfield Hall	5
SRFP - Markington with Wallerthwaite	582
SRFQ - Grantley and Sawley (Sawley)	250
SRFS - Fountains Abbey (Aldfield)	59
SRFT - Fountains Abbey (Lindrick with SR & Fountains)	40
SRFU - Fountains Abbey (Studley Roger)	88
SRFV - Fearby, Healey & District (Colsterdale)	9
SRFW - Fearby, Healey & District (Ellingstring)	67
SRFX - Fearby, Healey & District (Fearby)	109
SRFY - Fearby, Healey & District (Healey)	93
SRFZ - Fearby, Healey & District (Ilton Cum Pott)	43
New - Part PD Ripon (Spa Ward 1)	1099
Nidderdale	5407 Agreement with Council submission
SRNB - Birstwith	676
SRNC - Felliscliffe	276 Avoids split of Bishop Thornton Parish
SRND - Hartwith	880
SRGA - Dacre	656
SRGB - Darley and Menwith	945
SRGE - High & Low Bishopside (Glasshouses & Wilsill)	781
SRGI - Thornthwaite with Padside	155
SRGJ - Thruscross	91
SRFE - Clint Cum Hamlets	429
SRFC - Bishop Thornton, Shaw Mills & Warsill (Bishop T)	464
SRFD - Bishop Thornton, Shaw Mills & Warsill (Warsill)	52
Boroughbridge	6121 Agreement with Council submission
WEBA - Boroughbridge (Boroughbridge Ward)	3201
WEBB - Boroughbridge (Minskip Ward)	407
WEIF - Langthorpe	849
WEIC - Kirby Hill and District (Ellenthorpe)	24
WEID - Kirby Hill and District (Humberton)	34
WEIE - Kirby Hill and District (Kirby Hill)	394
WEIG - Kirby Hill and District (Milby)	603
WEIH - Kirby Hill and District (Thornton Bridge)	22
WEIK - Roeccliffe and Westwick (Roeccliffe)	194
WEIL - Roeccliffe and Weswick (Westwick)	6
WEII - Cundall with Leckby & Norton Le Clay (Cundall)	97
WEIJ - Cundall with Leckby & Norton Le Clay (Norton)	291
Wathvale and Bishop Monkton	5648 Agreement with Council submission
SROA - Asenby	264
SROB - Baldersby	264
SROC - Hewick and Hutton (Bridge Hewick)	37
SROD - Hewick and Hutton (Copt Hewick)	178
SROE - Dishforth	753
SROF - Hewick and Hutton (Hutton Conyers)	193
SROG - Melmerby and Middleton Quernhow (Melmerby)	342
SROH - North Stainley	640
SROI - Rainton with Newby	317
SROI - Sharow	538
SROK - Melmerby and Middleton Quernhow (Middleton)	44
SROL - Wath and Norton Conyers (Norton Conyers)	27
SROM - Wath and Norton Conyers (Wath)	199
WEIA - Bishop Monkton	871
WEIB - Marton Le Moor	188
WEIM - Skelton cum Newby (Givendale)	10
WEIN - Skelton cum Newby (Newby with Mulwith)	21
WEIO - Skelton cum Newby (Skelton on Ure)	255
New - Part PD SRHA Minster Ward	6
New - Part PD SRIC - Ripon (Ure Bank Ward)	501